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Threat Assessment & Management Provides:

- Teaming process
- Descriptive information
- Common language
- Community systems approach
- Multiple data points
- Contextual & developmental considerations

- Level of concern
- Safety planning for reducing risk:
  - skill development
  - relationship building
  - discipline/consequences
  - monitoring
  - environmental management

- Documentation

Pathway to Violence

Intensity of Effort

Ideation

Planning

Preparation/Acquisition

• Means
• Method
• Opportunity
• Proximity

Implementation
Workshop Objectives

Participants will learn:

- how to establish a consistent school/district-wide approach to behavioral threat assessment and management.
- critical factors contributing to risk
- best practice guidelines as established by prior legal cases
- primary prevention strategies to break the code of silence
- assessment procedures
- strategies for interventions and postvention
- strategies for working with difficult parents
- from case study examples and shared forms that illustrate the process
Workshop Outline

• Foundations of Behavioral Threat Assessment and Management (BTAM)
• Legal & Ethical Guidance
• Behavioral Threat Assessment & Management Process
• Implementation and Communication
Foundations of Behavioral Threat Assessment & Management (BTAM)
What is BTAM?

- Systematic and fact-based
- Collection and analysis of multiple sources of information
- Focuses on patterns of thinking and behavior
- Individual and dynamic factors
- Level of concern based on behaviors detected
- Escalating behaviors?
- Do they **pose** a threat?
- Goal is risk management (interrupt pathway)
- Must measure change (progress monitor)

Building a School BTAM Program

1. Establish a multidisciplinary team
2. Define prohibited and concerning behaviors
3. Create a central reporting mechanism
4. Define threshold for law enforcement intervention
5. Establish threat assessment procedures
6. Develop risk management options
7. Create and promote safe school climates
8. Conduct training for all stakeholders

8 Steps

ENHANCING SCHOOL SAFETY USING A THREAT ASSESSMENT MODEL
An Operational Guide for Preventing Targeted School Violence

National Threat Assessment Center
Secret Service Threat Assessment Model

Three guiding principles

1. No single type of perpetrator.
   • Targeted violence = interaction of perpetrator, setting, situation, and target.

2. Distinction between posing a threat and making a threat.
   • Many who pose a threat will not make a threat before the attack, and
   • Many who make a threat may pose no harm.

3. Targeted violence
   • Product of an understandable and often discernable pattern of behavior and thinking.

Adapted from Borum (2000); Fein and Vossekuil (1998), Vossekuil et al. (2000).
Elements of Quality BTAM

1. Establish authority to conduct inquiry
2. Investigative, skeptical, inquisitive mindset
3. Based on facts, rather than characteristics/traits/profiles
4. Integrated systems approach
   • Multidisciplinary BTAM teams
   • Interagency collaboration
5. Assess if poses a threat, not whether made a threat
6. Awareness training!

Foundation: Comprehensive School Safety

- **Framework:**
  - Safe and supportive school climate
  - Collaboration
  - MTSS
  - Integrated learning supports
  - Physical and psychological safety
  - Universal screenings
  - Data-based decision making
  - Evidenced-based interventions

BTAM is designed to interface with pre-existing MTSS supports and processes.
Crisis Preparedness is also critical!

- Most Attacks Were Not Stopped by Law Enforcement
  - Have protocols & procedures for managing threats and other behaviors of concern
  - We must act quickly
  - Practice and evaluate crisis procedures routinely
Schools Associated Violent Deaths

Homicide and Suicide at School

• Most school-associated student homicides involve a firearm and a single victim and offender.
• In 80% of school-associated firearm-related homicides and suicides, weapons used were obtained from home or from a friend or relative.


Staff Development & Training: ALL Staff

• General Staff Procedures & Awareness Training
  ▫ All school staff members are responsible for recognizing warning signs and reporting
  ▫ Listen to your “gut” (Langman, 2010; de Becker & Assoc, 1998)

• Clear and centralized reporting procedures (avoid “silo effect”)

• Staff know all reporting procedures for:
  • Bullying
  • Suicide risk
  • Child abuse & neglect
  • Sexual assault
  • Harassment
  • Violence or danger concerns
  • Substance abuse
  • Cyber/internet/texting concerns

The Path to Violence Video
Legal and Ethical Guidance
Legal Issues: U.S. Constitution

• 1st Amendment: Freedom of speech
  • Not entitled to protection:
    • fighting words, obscenity, and defamation
    • student speech which causes substantial disruption or material interference with school activities or invades rights of others
  • “true threat”

• 4th Amendment: Unreasonable search & seizure
  • Reasonable suspicion
  • Scope of search reasonably related to objective and not excessively intrusive
Legal Issues: U.S. Constitution

• *14th Amendment*: Due process and equal protection
  ▫ Action must be related to school’s interest in protecting students or maintaining order in school
  ▫ Failure to adopt and implement adequate safety measures can be grounds for tort claim in event of school violence
  ▫ Avoid discriminatory practices
  ▫ IDEA guidelines

• *Tort Claim* (under state law): negligence claim for failure to intervene

Legal Issues: Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) of 1974

- Applies to educational records - New Exception
  
  (December 2008): 34 CFR § 99.36
  
  - May disclose information to appropriate parties without consent when knowledge of information is necessary to protect the health and safety of a student or other individual, if there is a significant and articulable threat to the health and safety of an individual.
  
  - Must be directly related to a threat of actual, impending, or imminent emergency

- Prior Exceptions allowed
  
  - Educational Agencies and Institutions may share information from educational records of at-risk or delinquent youth
  
  - Court order/subpoena or criminal investigations
  
  - School officials where students is enrolled or seeks to enroll
### What is an Educational Record?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education Records</th>
<th>Not Education Records</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transcripts</td>
<td>Records that are kept in the sole possession of the maker and used only as personal memory aids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disciplinary records</td>
<td>Law enforcement unit records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standardized test results</td>
<td>Grades on peer-graded papers before they are collected and recorded by a teacher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health (including mental health) and family history records</td>
<td>Records created or received by a school after an individual is no longer in attendance and that are not directly related to the individual’s attendance at the school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Records on services provided to students under the <em>Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)</em></td>
<td>Employee records that relate exclusively to an individual in that individual’s capacity as an employee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Records on services and accommodations provided to students under <em>Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973</em> and <em>Title II of the ADA</em></td>
<td>Information obtained through a school official’s personal knowledge or observation and not from student’s education records</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Legal Issues: 1994 FERPA Amendments Regarding Staff and Records

- Disciplinary action may be kept in student records if the behavior posed a significant risk to the safety and well being of that student, other students or staff.

- This information may be disclosed to staff who have legitimate educational interest in the behavior of the student.

*LIMITATIONS DO NOT TRANSLATE INTO ABSOLUTE PROHIBITIONS*

US Dept. of Justice/FBI (2017)
Legal Issues: Duty to Warn/Inform

- When a student is a danger to self or others there is a duty to warn.
  - Tarasoff v. Regents of the University of California
Legal Issues: Foreseeability & Negligence

- **Foreseeability** of potential safety issues
  - Must report

- **Negligent** response on the part of the school
  - Notification
  - Supervision
  - Staff training
  - Identification
  - Intervention

- Immunity??
  - school professional can be sued for failing to protect students even if district has been found to have immunity from such a lawsuit

Erbacher, Singer, Poland (2015)
Current Legal Cases

Bowe Cleveland v Taft Union H.S. District, CA

- Case NO:S-1500-CV-279256, Kern County Superior Court
- Jury awarded 3.8 million dollars to student victim
  - District = 53% liable
  - Bryan and his family = 47% liable

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lIa7gCgW4Jc TV News Clip
Office of Civil Rights (OCR)

Some misconduct can trigger federal antidiscrimination law(s)

• “School districts may violate these civil rights statutes and the Department’s implementing regulations when peer harassment based on race, color, national origin, sex, or disability is sufficiently serious that it creates a hostile environment and such harassment is encouraged, tolerated, not adequately addressed, or ignored by school employees.”
  ▫ Harassment does not have to specifically include intent to harm, be directed at a specific target, or involve repeated incidents.

• Schools must do more than take prompt and effective steps reasonably calculated to end the harassment.
  ▫ Must also “eliminate any hostile environment and its effects, and prevent the harassment from occurring.”

Office of Civil Rights, Dear Colleague Letter Harassment and Bullying. (October 26, 2010)
http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/letters/colleague-201010.html
Legal & Ethical Implications

- No Maleficence/Do No Harm
- Competence
- Use Team Approach
- Confidentiality & Exceptions
- Notifying and Involve Parents
  - Transfer of Responsibility
- Provide appropriate postvention response
- DOCUMENT, DOCUMENT, DOCUMENT!!!!
- Liability insurance

Erbacher, Singer, Poland(2015); US Dept. of Ed/FBI (2017); Deisinger/SIGMA Threat Management Associates (2018)
Legal Issues: Establish a District-Wide Policy

- Specific, well-articulated procedures for exploring allegations
  - Require prompt, discrete, and responsible action from school officials.
- Include protocols for:
  - Establishing and training the BTAM team
  - Evaluating and interviewing potential offender
  - Notifying and working with parents
  - Awareness training for school community
  - Interviewing other students and staff
  - Reporting, documentation, and interventions procedures
  - Involving additional professionals (e.g., mental health, social service, law enforcement)
  - Providing follow-up observation and services
  - Responding to media (should the need arise)
Legal Issues: Establish a Systemic Process

- Utilizes effective & relevant multi-disciplinary approach, capable of addressing all threats
- Enables centralized awareness of developing concerns through active outreach programs & consultations
- Facilitates a thorough & contextual assessment
- Implements proactive & integrated case management
- Monitors & re-assesses case on a longitudinal basis
- Conducts all practices in accordance with relevant laws, policies, and standards of practice
- Adapts to challenges & changing needs.

Deisinger & Randazzo (2017)
Behavioral Threat Assessment & Management Process
# Secret Service Reports: Targeted School Violence

## 2019 (Secret Service Analysis)

- No accurate profile of student attacker or type of school targeted
- Attackers had multiple motives
  - most common = grievance w/
    1. classmate(s)
    2. school staff, romantic relationship, personal issues
- Most used firearms, most often acquired in home
- Most experienced psychological, behavioral, or developmental symptoms
- Half had interests in violent topics

## 2002 (Safe School Initiative)

- No accurate or useful profile of student
- Most had access to/used weapons prior
  - most attacks stopped by non-law enforcement
- Most demonstrated interest in violence
Secret Service Reports: Targeted School Violence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2002</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **All** experienced social stressors involving relationships w/ peers or romantic partners | Most had difficulties coping with significant losses or personal failures  
• Many had considered suicide |
| Nearly every experienced negative home life factors | Many felt bullied, persecuted, or injured by others prior to attack |
| Most victims of bullying  
• often observed by others | Most had no history of prior violent or criminal behavior |
| Most hx of school discipline actions  
• many contact with law enforcement | Most incidence other people knew of ideas/plans  
• Most did not threaten target directly  
• Most engaged in behaviors that concerned others; indicated need for help  
• Some cases other students involved in attack plan |
| **All** exhibited concerning behaviors  
• Most elicited concern  
• Most communicated intent |  |
Inquiry verses Investigation

- **Inquiry** - initiated when information about a student’s behavior and communications passes an agreed-upon threshold of concern.
  - Conducted by school team

- **Investigation** - initiated when potential threat is serious/imminent
  - Conducted by police with school involvement to provide information

The central question in a threat assessment inquiry or investigation is whether a student **poses** a threat, not whether the student has **made a threat.”**
Process at a Glance

1. Assemble team
2. Gather preliminary information & conduct screening
3. If proceed to full assessment, gather data from multiple sources
4. Organize and analyze information
5. Determine level of risk/concern
6. Develop intervention and monitoring plan
7. Document
8. Progress monitor – stay engaged!

Threat Assessment

A systematic process that is designed to:

1. **IDENTIFY** situations/persons of concern
2. **INQUIRE** & gather information
3. **ASSESS** situation
4. **MANAGE** the situation/mitigate risk

Sigma Threat Management Associates; Deisinger & Randazzo (2017)
Limitations of Risk Assessments
(Other-directed violence)

• Protocol based on research of targeted school violence incidents at school (Secret Service, FBI)
  ▫ not a foolproof method

• Are not reliable procedures for incidents of violence motivated by gang involvement, drugs or alcohol, sexual gratification

• Don’t provide predictions of future behavior, placement, or eligibility
1. Assemble Team
Threat Assessment Program Structure

- One district-wide team

- Multiple school/area-based teams

- One district and multiple school/area based teams
BTAM Team Members

**Core Members: Lead Screening & Full Assessment**

- BTAM Case Manager
- Administrator
- School Psychologist, Counselor, and/or Social Worker (at least one, prefer two)
- School Resource Officer/Law Enforcement (Moderate – High/Imminent)

*Minimum of 3 members on core team*

**Other Potential Members:**

- Educators, Mentors, Coaches, Nurse
- Persons with Expertise:
  - Human Resources
  - Legal
  - Special Education
- External Consultant (i.e. threat management specialist, independent medical/psychological examiner)

**Determining level of concern/risk and appropriate interventions is a team decision!**
School Officials vs. Agents of Law Enforcement?

• Courts uniformly held that school officials who question students about possible violation does not, absent of other circumstances, acts as a law enforcement officer or agent of the police (if act independent of police)
  ▪ “School officials are neither trained nor equipped to conduct police investigations, but they must regularly conduct inquiries.”
  ▪ If school officials inquiring for disciplinary purposes, students not entitled to Miranda warnings.

• SRO/Law Enforcement: school officials or law enforcement officer (LEO)?
  ▫ MOU – specify law enforcement and school safety functions are separate
  ▫ Must specify in annual FERPA notification to parents if SRO/LEO’s are school officials with a “legitimate educational interest”

Critical Read!!
Others?

- Who else in your building could potentially serve on a threat assessment team?

- What role would they serve?

- What experience or skill set might they bring to your team?
Legal & Ethical Implications

- No Maleficence/Do No Harm
- Competence
- Use Team Approach
- Confidentiality & Exceptions
- Notifying and Involve Parents
  - Transfer of Responsibility
- Provide appropriate postvention response
- DOCUMENT, DOCUMENT, DOCUMENT!!!!
- Liability insurance
Effective BTAM Team: Self-Awareness

- Confirmation bias
- Implicit and explicit bias
- Availability bias
- Hindsight Bias
  - Fail to recognize what behaviors truly mean
- Inadvertently minimize
- Desensitized if person has been ongoing concern/"frequent flier"
- Assume others are responsible or will take responsibility
- Change Blindness & Illusion of Memory
  - [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6JONMYxaZ_s](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6JONMYxaZ_s)
Effective BTAM Team

• Understands value of caretaking
• Plans well and understand urgency, when appropriate
• Cooperates and shares information effectively amongst members
• Sets rules and boundaries
• Provides guidance and follow-through for persons
• Ensures implementation of management plan
• Continually re-evaluates active cases and re-engages when necessary
• Understands patience is needed
• Meets on regular basis

GOAL & FOCUS: *Identify the need for interventions, not solely focused on punishment.*

US Dept. of Justice/FBI (2017); NTAC (2018)
2. Gather Preliminary Information & Conduct Screening
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO LEGITMATE THREAT</th>
<th>POSSIBLE LEGITMATE THREAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Made a threat but does not pose a threat.</td>
<td>• Context and meaning support legitimate safety concern.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• No “true” threat.</td>
<td>• Threat communicated intent to harm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Do not express a lasting harm to someone.</td>
<td>• Person(s) on receiving end feel threatened.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Intended as figures of speech or</td>
<td>• Needs further assessment to determine level of concern and actions to be taken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Reflect feelings that dissipate in a short period after reflection.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Can be resolved or managed through problem-solving process or existing supports.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Can be easily resolved.

Requires additional assessment and supports.
Key Screening Questions

1. Is it an emergency or imminent situation?
   ▫ If yes, call 911/notify SRO
   ▫ If no, to go #2

2. Does it involve any of the following? If so, notify Title IX Coordinator
   ▫ Sexual assault
   ▫ Sexual harassment
   ▫ Dating violence
   ▫ Stalking
   ▫ Domestic violence assault

3. Do you need to run a threat assessment?
   ▫ If yes, go to #4
   ▫ If no, document screening and close case.

4. Does the student of concern have a disability with IEP/504 Plan?
   ▫ Is the threatening behavior possibly a disability manifestation (per SPED/504)?
   ▫ Can the threatening behavior be managed under existing IEP/504 Plan?
     ➢ If NO, run a Threat Assessment.
     ➢ If YES, engage SPED/504 team.
Identifying Threats When They Occur: What Constitutes a Threat?

- Expression indicating an intent to harm someone
  - Verbal
  - Written/Social Media
  - Artistic
  - Symbolic (gestures)
- Pattern of escalating behaviors suggesting violence may be possible
- Weapon possession
  - presumed to be a threat unless circumstances clearly indicate otherwise
Types of Threats

- **Direct Threat**
  - statement of clear, explicit intent to harm
- **Indirect Threat**
  - violence is implied or phrased tentatively
- **Conditional Threat**
  - made contingent on set of circumstances
- **Veiled Threat**
  - vague & subject to interpretation

*Most offenders do not threaten targets directly!*

“Context is more important than content.”

(Gavin de Becker & Associates, 2017)
Assessing Threats

**Process:**
- Ask in detail about the material
- Be persistent and specific with questions
- Express concern
- Watch for non-verbal cues
- Triangulate data
- Share information with team
- Monitor future materials

This is a conversation, NOT an interrogation!!!!

**Content:**
- Understand the context
- Consider if written and artistic material are practice attempts
- Assess for themes:
  - violence
  - relationship dynamics/intensity
  - proximity
  - increasing intensity
  - time imperative
- Assess for past expressions
- Assess access to or knowledge of weapons
I have become acquainted with guns
I have used everything from a 9mm to a 12 gauge
I have hit the smallest target quite accurately.

I have quickly set up an AK-47
I have killed the smallest, most innocent rabbit
and never stopped to think about it.

I have blown away the dumbest deer
and let its body be carried away,
It will never again see the light of day.

But, I don’t know what I will kill next
I have the urge to kill many things
But some things are off limits to kill.

I will blow away whatever runs.
I have become acquainted with guns.

-9th grade student
Screening Tool

No Legitimate Threat
- Problem Solving and/or Existing Supports

Possible Threat
- Needs Further Assessment

SC Guide – Appendix H
3. Gather Data from Multiple Sources

(If proceed to full assessment)

SC Guide – Appendix I
Secret Service Threat Assessment Model

- Focuses on the facts of a specific case
- Examines the progression of ideas and planning behavior over time
- Corroborates information through multiple sources.
- Cased on three guiding principles….

Adapted from Borum (2000); Fein and Vossekuil (1998), Vossekuil et al. (2000).
Principles to Avoid Misuse

• Do no harm
  ▫ Keep information confidential and use signs to identify the need for interventions, rather than as a punishment tool.

• Many students show multiple risk factors - important not to overreact.

• Avoid stereotypes and labeling.
  ▫ Focus on behaviors

• View student behavior within a developmental context.
  ▫ Developmentally typical behavior should not be misinterpreted

Adapted from Dwyer, Osher, & Warger (1998)
Secret Service Threat Assessment Model

- Data Collection
  - group achievement test scores
  - test scores
  - attendance records
  - frequent moves/transfer records
  - discipline records
  - language proficiency status
  - school history
    - academic, behavioral, disciplinary, and mental health
    - academic and activity settings
  - reports from teachers, school support staff, and coaches/mentors
  - history of parent involvement

**Contextual Assessment**

*Violence is interaction between….*

| Subject | • person of concern  
|         | • how individual perceives and deals with life  
|         | • intensity of effort directed towards planning/preparation |
| Target  | • identified target  
|         | • persons fearful?  |
| Environment | • circumstances/situations affecting subject  
|           | • external influences encouraging/discourage violence  |
| Precipitating Events | • positive (protective) or negative impact that accelerates risk  |

SIGMA Threat Management Associates, LLC (2018)
Investigative Themes

1. Motives
2. Communications
3. Inappropriate interests
4. Weapons access
5. Stressors
6. Emotional & developmental issues
8. Desperation or despair
9. Violence as an option
10. Others concerned
11. Capacity to carry out
12. Planning
13. Consistency
14. Protective Factors
11 key areas* to assess/explore during interviews and data gathering

1. Possible/perceived stressors; circumstances
2. Thoughts of revenge/communication of intent
3. Inappropriate interest/experiences/fascination with violence
4. History of attitudes toward violence as acceptable
5. Depression, helplessness, hopelessness and/or despair
6. Suicidal ideation/intent
7. Homicidal ideation/intent
8. Motivations/goals/capacity for violence
9. Mental health concerns/psychiatric disorders
10. Possible helping resources/others concerned
11. “Story” consistent with actions

*11 Key Questions

Adapted from Borum (2000); Fein and Vossekuil (1998); Secret Service (2018); Vossekuil et al. (2000)
## Assessing Risk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Time</strong></th>
<th>Has the time to execute their plan; if time imperative, immediate containment is needed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Opportunity</strong></td>
<td>Has the opportunity to carry-out plan; is able to access targets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ability</strong></td>
<td>Cognitive and physical capabilities to carry-out plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Desire</strong></td>
<td>Strong desire to carry-out plan and sees no other option besides violence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stimulus</strong></td>
<td>Stressors are negatively impacting life and decision making, thus can be a trigger for carrying out the harmful act</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Vortex of Information**

Dangerousness is not a permanent state of being nor solely an attribute of a person.

Dangerousness is *situational* & based on:

**J**ustification

**A**lternatives

**C**onsequences; and

**A**bility
Interview with Person of Concern

Goals:
- Gather information about the grievance, motivations, plans, identity of additional interview contacts
- Assess risk factors, warning signs, stressors, resiliency
- Redirect person of concern away from known targets and violence
- Offer appropriate assistance
- Deliver admonishments against future negative behaviors
- Serve as an effective deterrent (behavior has been noticed)
Interviews with Others

• For family and/or friends:
  • Has the student at risk told you of any ideas or plan to commit a violent act against the school?
  • Against any specific person(s)? If so, describe these ideas/plans.
  • Has he or she taken any steps to act on these ideas/plans?

• For school staff, family and/or friends:
  • How organized is the student at risk?
  • How capable do you think he/she is of acting on his/her ideas?
  • How concerned do you think staff and family should be about the safety of the target(s)?

Adapted from Borum (2000); Fein and Voskuil (1998), Voskuil et al. (2000).
Interviews with Others

For identified target:

- How well do you know the student at risk of violence?
- How well does this person know your work and personal lifestyle patterns?
- What changes could make an attack less likely?
- How seriously do you take this threat of potential attack?
- How concerned are you about your safety?
  - It is infrequent (less than 25% of cases) for direct threats to be made to the intended victims.

Adapted from Borum (2000); Fein and Voskuil (1998), Voskuil et al. (2000).
Risk Factors/Threat Enhancers

- Social withdrawal
- Isolation, alienation
- Feelings of rejection
- Victim of violence & bullying
- Feelings of being picked on & persecuted
- Low school interest & performance
- Violent expressions in writings and drawings
- Uncontrolled anger
- Patterns of impulsive and chronic hitting, intimidating, and bullying
- History of discipline problems
- History of violence
- Intolerance & prejudice
- Drug & alcohol use
- Affiliation with gangs
- Access & possession of firearms
- Serious threats of violence

More Recent Events: hallucinations, delusions; social isolation

+ Stressors:
- Significant losses
- Having been bullied
- Significant disappointments
- Associated coping failure
Warning Behaviors

**Distal**
- Personal grievance & moral outrage
- Framed by ideology
- Failure to affiliate
- Dependence on the virtual community
- Thwarting of occupational goals
- Changes in thinking and emotion
- Relationship challenges
- Mental health disorder*
- History of criminal violence

**Proximal**
- Pathway
- Fixation
- Identification
- Novel aggression
- Last resort warning behaviors

IMMINENT WARNING SIGNS

- Suicidal ideation and behaviors
- Gun use/purchase/possession
- Interest in violence
- Hopelessness and despair
- A need for revenge
- Serious physical fighting with peers or family members
- Severe destruction of property
- Severe rage for seemingly minor reasons
- Detailed threats of lethal violence
- “Leakage” – telling friends, warning others, recruiting others, school assignments
- Postings on social media sites

*These factors MAY signal that a youth is considering acting on thoughts of violence*
Social Media Landscape
4. Organize and Analyze Information
Secret Service Threat Assessment Model

Organize/Analyze 11 key questions to help determine risk:

1. What are the person’s motive(s) and goals? What first brought him/her to someone’s attention?

2. Have there been any communications suggesting ideas or intent to attack?

3. Has the person shown any inappropriate interest in school attacks/attackers, weapons, incidents of mass violence?

4. Has the person engaged in attack-related behaviors?

5. Does the person have the capacity to carry out an act of targeted violence?

6. Is the person experiencing hopelessness, desperation, and/or despair?
Secret Service Threat Assessment Model

Organize/Analyze 11 key questions to help determine risk:

7. Does the person have a trusting relationship with at least one responsible adult?

8. Does the person see violence as an acceptable, desirable – or the only – way to solve a problem?

9. Are the person’s conversation and “story” consistent with his or her actions?

10. Are other people concerned about the person’s potential for violence?

11. What circumstances might affect the likelihood the person will engage in violence / resort to violence?
Two Types of Violence

**Predatory/Planned**
- Premeditated
- Often emotionless
- Serves a purpose/goal
- Often have grievance
- Attack oriented
- Not time limited

**Impulsive/Reactive**
- Emotional
- Impromptu
- Frequently a defensive behavior to perceived imminent threat
- Reactions are time-limited

*adult and adolescent mass murders are mostly predatory/planned*

US Dept. of Justice/FBI (2017); Meloy
Analysis: Psychopathic Indicators

- Narcissistic - no conscience; sadistic
  - lack capacity for empathy, remorse, guilt
  - No regard for social norms, morality, ethics, law
  - Dislike for those who represent authority
- Inability to take responsibility for own behavior
  - Blame victims and paint self as “good guy”
  - Punishment seen as injustice – feel they are being wronged
- Good at “impression management”
  - Charming, witty, charismatic
- Want to be “Godlike”
  - Don’t care if they hurt others; often experience euphoria when doing so
- Impervious to fear – nothing fazes them…yet
  - Narcissism is fragile, hypersensitive to any perceived slight (paranoid)
- Feel justified in killing those who rejected, failed, or frustrated quest to fulfill desires
- 29% of secondary school shooters

Analysis: Psychotic or Complex MH Challenges

- Avoidant; schizotypal and dependent personality traits
- Schizophrenia - paranoid delusions, auditory hallucinations
- Depressed and full of rage
- 29% of secondary school shooters

-In adult mass murders, severe mental illness is observed in higher rates (US Dept. of Justice/FBI, 2017) along with a complex combination of mental disorders and personality disorders (Meloy, 2013)
Some sexually abused
Suffered emotional and physical abuse at home
Ongoing stress and losses
  • parental substance abuse
  • frequent move
  • lost parent to separation, jail and death
  • trauma history resulted in suicidal thoughts
ACE’s = Adverse Childhood Experiences
Most common type of secondary school shooters (42%)
5. Determine Level of Risk/Concern
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels of Risk/Concern</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>High/Imminent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Implausible, vague, indirect, lacks detail</td>
<td>Plausible but lacks specifics</td>
<td>Plausible; direct and/or specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Inconsistent and/or no intent; passing thought in the moment</td>
<td>Suggests some thought how would carry out plan</td>
<td>Detailed thought as to how would carrying out plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High/Imminent</td>
<td>Lack realism</td>
<td>Realistic and indication of time, place, and/or targets but no detailed plan</td>
<td>Realistic and actions indicate strong intent to carry out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No identifiable grievances; is remorseful</td>
<td>Some grievances identified; does not view as hopeless/helpless</td>
<td>Strong grievance identified; intent on violence as solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Content and actions suggest no movement towards carrying out; no “true” threat</td>
<td>No to minimal indication of preparatory steps; if minimize stressors unlikely to carry out</td>
<td>Strong intent to carry-out regardless; acquiring and/or practicing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High/Imminent</td>
<td>Supports available; willing to problem solve</td>
<td>Willing to access supports, seek help, and problem solve</td>
<td>Minimal to no supports; resistive to problem solving/interventions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Amman 2017/US Dept Ed/FBI
2 Questions to Interventions

1. Subject pose a threat of violence? (i.e. moderate, high, imminent risk)?

2. Need additional interventions, and on-going supports and engagement for a period of time, to mitigate risk, decrease stressors, and build protective factors?

If “NO” to both then low risk:

If “NO "to both (i.e. low risk) then:

- Document the BTAM process followed and actions taken to resolve the concern
- If the subject shows a need for help or intervention, such as mental health care, then provide the subject/subject’s family with appropriate referrals and document.
- Close the case
2 Questions to Guide Intervention

1. If “YES” to one or both questions then:
   - Take appropriate actions
   - Develop an intervention and monitoring plan, appropriate for level of risk
   - Provide the subject/subject’s family with appropriate mental health referrals
   - Document the case, including referrals made

If responses are unclear → develop monitoring and support plan.
School-Based Intervention: **Imminent Risk**

- **Needs immediate containment**: mental health hold or law enforcement intervention
  - If the student has the means to carry out the harmful act, determine if will voluntarily relinquish it. **Do not force the student to do so or place self in danger. Call SRO.**

- Supervise constantly and without exception until help arrives.
- Under no circumstances allow the student to leave the school.
- Do not agree to keep a student's intentions a secret.
- Then follow high risk protocol interventions
- Protect and notify intended victims and their guardians (if warranted)
School-Based Intervention: High Risk

- Contact and consult with SRO/law enforcement/mental health
- Notify guardian/parents – work collaboratively
  - Guardian uncooperative/unavailable – contact law enforcement/child protective services
- Follow discipline procedures as per conduct code and/or refer for mental health assessment/engagement
- Develop intervention, supervision and monitoring plan
- Establish re-entry meeting date
- Conduct progress monitoring – engage teachers/support staff
- Protect and notify intended victims and their guardians (if warranted)

*always discuss with parents so they have a better understanding of possible interventions and reintegration*
School-Based Intervention: **Moderate Risk**

- Notify guardian/parents – work collaboratively
  - Guardian uncooperative/unavailable – contact law enforcement/child protective services; *document*!
- Develop intervention, supervision, and monitoring plan
  - Consult with SRO
- Make appropriate referrals - school-based and community supports
- Protect and notify intended victims and their guardians (if warranted)
- Conduct progress monitoring – engage teachers/support staff
- Keep regular contact with parents and other providers
School-Based Intervention: Low Risk

- See that perceived threat is resolved through explanation, apology, or making amends
- Notify parents, engage in monitoring/supports
- Identify potential school-based supports
- Connect parents with community mental health services, if appropriate
- Engage teachers/staff to monitor and support

Erbacher, Singer, Poland (2015)
Informing the Parents

- Must inform parents/guardians
- Document phone call/meeting
- Evaluate and document parents response
- Parents refuse to acknowledge homicidal suicidal thoughts/actions
  - Threat – call police
  - Suicide - can report as medical neglect
- Police may take legal custody, protective custody, or custody with an involuntary mental health hold
- Transfer of responsibility
- Should still inform parent if feel threat is not serious
  - Actively seek additional information
6. Develop Intervention & Monitoring Plan
Responding to Risk

• Develop interventions that include increased supervision and supports

• Obtain parental permission to exchange information with providers
  ▫ consider wrap-around intervention and support

• Consider establishing/revising student’s behavior contract and/or to conduct a more in-depth assessment.
**STEP: Case Management**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>De-escalate, contain, or control the subject who may take violent action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Decrease vulnerabilities of the target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Modify the physical and cultural environment and systems to discourage escalation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Prepare for and mitigate against precipitating events that can trigger escalation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Deisinger & Randazzo (2008)
Intervention & Support Planning

- Discipline
- Monitoring
- Skill Development
- Resiliency Building
- Relationship Building
- Environment
- Additional Interventions

PUNISHMENT ALONE DOES NOT CHANGE BEHAVIOR!!

Link to MTSS/Comprehensive supports

Resource Mapping Tool
Discipline Considerations

• Prosocial discipline (restorative justice, community service, mentoring…)
• Removing privileges
• Identify triggers and self
  ▫ initiate “cool-down”
  ▫ time/location
• Letter of Apology
• Conflict Resolution
• Confrontation/warning
• Restorative Practice
• Behavior Contract
• Parent Meeting

• Suspension
• Expulsion
• Alternative to Suspension
• Alternative placement
• Habitually Disruptive
• Detention
• No contact order
• Ticketing, charges, courts, probation
• Court issues protective orders
• Diversion program
• Incarceration

OVERLY PUNITIVE MEASURES AND/OR THOSE THAT LACK COMPASSION CAN ESCALATE RISK!
Monitoring Measures

- On-going progress monitoring
- Check-in/check-out
- Late arrival/early dismissal
- Random searches
- Safety contracts
- Track attendance
- Modify schedules (reduce free, unsupervised time; travel card)
- Restrictions
- “No contact” agreements
- Escorts from class to class
- Community agency involvement

- Ongoing communication/collaboration between staff, parents, and others
- Home visits (check for weapons, etc.)
- Parents increase supervision
- Probation, parole, tracker, ankle monitors
- Increased monitoring
- Review student’s response to monitoring
- Fade monitoring as appropriate

Skill Development & Relat. Building

Help redirect emotions/motives:

- Implement prevention & intervention programs
  - SEL (Social-Emotional Learning)
- Direct teaching of skills (anger management, conflict resolution, social skills)
- Academic interventions/supports/accommodations
- Changes of placement to *access* additional resources
- FBA/BIP
- School and/or community supports

- Peer supports
- Participation in school activities/clubs
- Mentoring
- Family resources
- Mental health interventions
- Drug/alcohol intervention
- Special education as appropriate
- Revise IEP/504
- De-escalation training for staff/parents

Environment

- Address systemic, procedural, or policy problems that may serve as precipitating events
- Build a caring and supportive climate and culture
- Ensure positive dynamics among staff (serves as modeling for students)
- Implement effective threat and suicide assessment procedures

- Enhance social-emotional learning to include:
  - Bullying prevention
  - Violence prevention
  - Suicide prevention
  - Emotional regulation
  - Conflict management
- Give permission to “Break the Code of Silence” and get help for a peer who is struggling
Relationship Building

- Establish system for student to seek support proactively from an adult
- Peer mentor
- Adult mentor
- Increase engagement in school and/or community activities
- Provide feedback and mentoring

- Engage in leadership activities
- De-escalation training for staff
- Decrease isolation
- Monitor reactions to grievances, precipitating events and provide supports
Additional Interventions

- Revise IEP/504 Plan
- Intervention team referral
- Change in transportation
- Evaluation
  - psychiatric/psychological
  - special education
- Change of placement to access more intensive services
- McKinney-Vento/foster care referral
- Social service referral
### Threat Assessments are NOT Manifestation Determination Reviews

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDR’s</th>
<th>TA’s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>focus on the student’s special ed. needs and services as it relates to a specific event</td>
<td>review the student’s patterns of dangerous behaviors and the school’s past interventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>determine whether or not a student’s specific act was a manifestation of the student’s identified area of disability</td>
<td>determine the level of concern regarding a student’s overall pattern of behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>may lead to changes in service/placement or to expulsion hearing</td>
<td>focus on preventative planning in a specific setting to reduce risk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Threat Assessments are NOT Expulsion Hearings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expulsion Hearing</th>
<th>Threat Assessments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>determine whether or not a specific behavior violated school policy</td>
<td>assess levels of concern regarding a student’s pattern of behavior over time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>determine whether or not a student should be expelled</td>
<td>lead to <em>preventative</em> planning for safety in a specific placement to reduce risk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kanan & Lee (2005)
Environmental Management

- Assess and enhance positive school and workplace climate and culture
- Address systemic, policy or procedural problems that may serve as triggering conditions
- Bullying/violence prevention programs
- Positive behavior/multi-tiered systems of supports
- Intervene with others that support violent behavior
- Reduce stressors/triggers
- Enhance conflict management skills
- Reinforce reporting procedures

NTAC (2018); Reeves & Brock (2018); SIGMA (2017); US Dept of Justice/FBI (2017)
7. Document
Documentation & Follow-Up

• Provide appropriate postvention response
• If out of school, need to conduct re-entry meeting

• DOCUMENT, DOCUMENT, DOCUMENT!!!!

 ▫ Fair
 ▫ Objective
 ▫ Reasonable
 ▫ Timely

“FORT”

Erbacher, Singer, Poland (2015); US Dept. of Ed/FBI (2017); Deisinger/SIGMA Threat Management Associates (2018)
Documentation

- Fill out screening and full assessment forms – should be used by every school

- Serve as documentation process was followed and interventions being offered

- Can also write a more in-depth report and integrate other data (i.e. BASC, FBA/BIP, specific responses to interview questions….)

- Used by every school

- Copy kept at school and also send to district office
  - Centralized database

We can make a positive difference!
8. Progress Monitor

- Stay engaged
Progress Monitor

- Assign “case manager”
- Determine:
  - interventions to be monitored
  - how will document
  - progress monitoring frequency
  - data to be collected
  - define “success” = close case
Implementation & Communication
Communication with Key Stakeholders

- Parents
  - recognizing and reporting problematic behaviors
  - procedures and protocols
  - suspension and expulsion can increase risk!
  - BTAM is an intervention and support process!
- School Board
  - procedures and protocols
- Law Enforcement
  - include representation when developing procedures and protocols
- Community
  - prevention and response efforts
  - liaise with community agencies to identify supports
  - list of community resources
  - proactively engage in relationship building with community providers (i.e. ER staff, community mental health, pediatricians, etc.)
Challenges & Opportunities

• **Time**
  - Allocate adequate time to complete assessment and safety plan
  - Delegate responsibilities to team members
  - Make use of time for PD to train staff/team members

• **Resources**
  - Adjust schedule to capitalize on human resources
  - Incorporate into job descriptions
  - Team with other school districts/teams to “share the load”
  - Take advantage of free and low cost training opportunities

• **Uncooperative Parents**
  - Be assertive about safety planning
  - Provide options when available
  - Hold fast to procedures and protocols (and communicate these to parents)
  - Control what you can control
Evaluating Fidelity of Implementation

- Develop and review checklists and flow charts to ensure fidelity to established protocols and procedures
- Clearly define roles and expectations for all team members
- Assign one person to manage documentation (hard copies, electronic logs, etc.)
- Schedule regular follow-up meetings and reviews for individual students
- Schedule regular follow-up meetings and reviews for the system and teams
Virtual Threat Assessment Resources

Behavior Threat Assessment and Management in the Virtual Environment


Handling Threat Assessment Cases Remotely

SIGMA Threat Management Associates

- [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=P4AtiXRRlww](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=P4AtiXRRlww)
Virtual Suicide Resources

- Comprehensive School Suicide Prevention in a Time of Distance Learning
- Preparing for Virtual School Suicide Risk Assessments
- Conducting a Virtual Suicide Risk Assessment
- And many other COVID-19 related resources!
15-Minute Focus Series

- [https://ncyi.org/shop/landingpages/15-minute-focus-series/#:~:text=15%2DMinute%20Focus%20is%20a,Budget%20Constraints%20for%20Ongoing%20Training](https://ncyi.org/shop/landingpages/15-minute-focus-series/#:~:text=15%2DMinute%20Focus%20is%20a,Budget%20Constraints%20for%20Ongoing%20Training)

- Also includes:
  - Anxiety
  - Suicide
  - Trauma
  - Grief
  - Counseling Techniques
  - And more coming…

Practitioner Friendly: Administrators, Teachers, School Mental Health Professionals, Support Staff, and Parents

$12.95-17.95